

# Post-Quantum Cryptography: Securing AI Systems against Quantum Threats

# **Abstract**

As artificial intelligence (AI) systems increasingly underpin critical applications in healthcare, finance, defense, and infrastructure, ensuring their security has become paramount. However, the rapid advancement of quantum computing poses a significant threat to classical cryptographic schemes that currently safeguard these systems. Quantum algorithms such as Shor's and Grover's threaten to undermine the confidentiality, integrity, and authentication of data and models that AI systems depend on. In this context, **Post-Quantum Cryptography (PQC)** has emerged as a crucial area of research aimed at developing cryptographic algorithms that are secure against both classical and quantum adversaries.

This paper investigates the intersection of quantum computing threats and AI system vulnerabilities, highlighting how current security mechanisms may fail in a post-quantum era. We explore various categories of PQC—including lattice-based, hash-based, and multivariate polynomial cryptography—and evaluate their applicability to securing AI systems, particularly in scenarios such as model distribution, federated learning, data encryption, and communication in AI-enabled edge and IoT environments.

Through analysis of emerging use cases and proposed architectures, the paper outlines how PQC can be integrated into the AI lifecycle to mitigate quantum-era threats. It also identifies key challenges such as computational overhead, integration complexity, and standardization gaps. The study concludes by outlining future research opportunities, including the development of efficient PQC protocols tailored to AI workloads, the creation of quantum-resilient AI pipelines, and the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration to secure AI's future.

**Keywords:** Post-Quantum Cryptography (PQC), Artificial Intelligence (AI) Security, Quantum Computing Threats, Lattice-Based Cryptography, Federated Learning, Secure AI Pipelines, Quantum-Resistant Algorithms, Cryptographic Integration, Adversarial Machine Learning, NIST POC Standards

# Journal

Journal of Science, Technology and Engineering Research

Volume-II, Issue-II-2024

**Pages:** 1-17

<sup>\*</sup> Danny Smith, Brighton Aldridge Community Academy, United Kingdom. **Email:** <u>info@virtualeap.com</u> | Akinniyi James Samuel, 9NL, CTO, Victoria Island, Lagos, Nigeria.



## 1. Introduction

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has become a cornerstone of modern digital infrastructure, with applications ranging from autonomous vehicles and smart healthcare systems to financial modeling and critical defense operations. These systems frequently rely on vast amounts of sensitive data and complex machine learning models, which are often distributed across networks or deployed in edge and cloud environments. As AI continues to be embedded in high-stakes domains, ensuring its security, integrity, and privacy is of paramount importance.

Meanwhile, **quantum computing**—once a purely theoretical concept—is rapidly advancing toward practical implementation. Leveraging the principles of quantum mechanics, quantum computers are expected to solve certain classes of problems exponentially faster than classical computers. This progress, while promising for scientific and industrial breakthroughs, also presents a **severe security challenge**. Algorithms like **Shor's algorithm** can efficiently factor large integers, threatening to break widely used public-key cryptographic systems such as RSA, ECC, and DH. Similarly, **Grover's algorithm** poses risks to symmetric cryptographic schemes by reducing brute-force attack complexity.

This looming quantum threat extends directly to AI systems, which depend heavily on cryptographic mechanisms to:

- Protect model integrity and intellectual property
- Secure communication between distributed components (e.g., in federated learning)
- Ensure privacy of training and inference data
- Authenticate users and devices accessing AI services

If these mechanisms are compromised, the consequences could include data breaches, model theft, manipulation of AI outputs, and systemic failures in critical infrastructures. As such, it is essential to future-proof AI systems by transitioning to cryptographic techniques that remain secure in the presence of quantum adversaries.

**Post-Quantum Cryptography (PQC)** is the field devoted to developing classical cryptographic algorithms that are resistant to both classical and quantum attacks. Unlike quantum cryptography, PQC does not require quantum communication infrastructure, making it a more practical near-term solution for widespread adoption. NIST's ongoing efforts to standardize PQC algorithms reflect the urgency and importance of this transition.

In this paper, we explore the intersection of AI security and post-quantum cryptography, focusing on how PQC can be applied to protect AI systems against quantum-enabled threats. We begin by reviewing the quantum threat landscape and the vulnerabilities it creates for AI applications. Next, we examine the fundamental principles and leading families of PQC, and analyze their

<sup>\*</sup> Danny Smith, Brighton Aldridge Community Academy, United Kingdom. **Email:** <u>info@virtualeap.com</u> | Akinniyi James Samuel, 9NL, CTO, Victoria Island, Lagos, Nigeria.



applicability to AI-specific use cases such as secure federated learning, model deployment, and data privacy. We also highlight real-world and experimental case studies where PQC is being considered for AI system protection.

Finally, we discuss the **key challenges** in integrating PQC into AI workflows, including performance overhead, interoperability, and standardization, and we propose **future research directions** to bridge these gaps. By proactively addressing these issues, we aim to contribute to the development of **resilient**, **secure**, **and trustworthy AI systems** in the quantum era.

# 2. Background and Related Work

# 2.1 Classical Cryptography and Its Role in AI

Traditional cryptographic systems are fundamental to the secure operation of AI workflows. Algorithms such as RSA, Elliptic Curve Cryptography (ECC), and Diffie–Hellman (DH) are widely used to ensure data confidentiality, secure communications, verify digital signatures, and maintain system integrity. Symmetric key algorithms such as AES are also deployed to encrypt training data, model weights, and inference outputs, particularly in distributed or cloud-based AI environments. Additionally, AI techniques like **federated learning** rely heavily on cryptographic schemes to protect user privacy and enforce model update authenticity.

However, these classical encryption schemes are built on mathematical problems—such as integer factorization and discrete logarithms—that are **vulnerable to quantum algorithms**, thereby putting AI systems at risk as quantum computing matures.

### 2.2 Quantum Computing and the Cryptographic Threat

Quantum computers utilize qubits, which can exist in superposition and entangled states, enabling them to process complex computations far more efficiently than classical systems for certain problems. Two quantum algorithms present a direct threat to current cryptographic standards:

- **Shor's Algorithm** (1994): Efficiently factors large integers and solves discrete logarithms in polynomial time, undermining the security of RSA, DH, and ECC.
- **Grover's Algorithm** (1996): Provides a quadratic speed-up for brute-force search, weakening symmetric key cryptography such as AES and hash functions like SHA-2.

As these algorithms mature with the progress of quantum hardware, the once theoretically secure cryptographic backbone of AI systems becomes increasingly vulnerable.

## 2.3 Post-Quantum Cryptography (PQC)

PQC refers to cryptographic algorithms designed to be secure against attacks by both classical and quantum computers. Unlike quantum key distribution (QKD), which requires quantum communication infrastructure, PQC can be implemented on classical systems, making it a practical choice for today's AI infrastructure.

<sup>\*</sup> Danny Smith, Brighton Aldridge Community Academy, United Kingdom. **Email:** <a href="mailto:info@virtualeap.com">info@virtualeap.com</a> | Akinniyi James Samuel, 9NL, CTO, Victoria Island, Lagos, Nigeria.



## Major families of PQC include:

- Lattice-Based Cryptography: Relies on the hardness of problems like Learning With Errors (LWE) and Ring-LWE; currently among the most promising PQC schemes.
- Code-Based Cryptography: Based on the difficulty of decoding random linear codes.
- **Multivariate Polynomial Cryptography**: Involves solving systems of multivariate quadratic equations over finite fields.
- **Hash-Based Signatures**: Built on the security of cryptographic hash functions, particularly suited for digital signatures.
- **Isogeny-Based Cryptography**: Uses problems related to elliptic curve isogenies; offers compact key sizes but is computationally intensive.

The NIST Post-Quantum Cryptography Standardization Project has been instrumental in assessing and selecting robust PQC algorithms for widespread adoption. In 2022, NIST announced its selection of algorithms for standardization, including CRYSTALS-Kyber for key encapsulation and CRYSTALS-Dilithium for digital signatures.

# 2.4 AI-Specific Security Concerns in the Quantum Era

AI systems face unique challenges beyond data confidentiality:

- **Model Theft and Tampering**: Attackers could extract or modify models used in AI systems if digital signatures and encryption are broken.
- Adversarial Machine Learning: Quantum-enhanced techniques could potentially create more sophisticated adversarial examples or train attack models faster.
- **Secure Inference and Federated Learning**: These depend on secure multiparty computation and cryptographic guarantees, which are undermined if quantum attacks succeed.
- **Data Privacy in AI Pipelines**: The massive data exchanges between AI components could be intercepted or altered if secure encryption fails.

# 2.5 Related Work

Several recent studies have begun exploring the integration of PQC into AI:

- Chen et al. (2020) emphasized the risks of quantum computing to machine learning data privacy and suggested early migration paths to PQC-secured channels.
- Alagöz & Ulutas (2022) reviewed potential cryptographic transitions for federated learning in the context of quantum threats.
- **NIST's documentation** and **CISA's recommendations** continue to emphasize AI among the critical sectors needing PQC migration planning.

Despite these efforts, the field remains under-explored. Most literature treats AI and PQC as separate disciplines, and practical frameworks for implementing PQC in AI pipelines are lacking.

# 3. Quantum Threat Landscape for AI Systems

<sup>\*</sup> Danny Smith, Brighton Aldridge Community Academy, United Kingdom. **Email:** <u>info@virtualeap.com</u> | Akinniyi James Samuel, 9NL, CTO, Victoria Island, Lagos, Nigeria.



The integration of AI into critical digital infrastructure—ranging from autonomous systems and smart grids to healthcare diagnostics and financial forecasting—has significantly increased the attack surface for adversaries. As quantum computing matures, it introduces not just new computational capabilities but also **new security vulnerabilities**. Understanding the nature of **quantum threats to AI systems** is essential to proactively developing and adopting countermeasures such as Post-Quantum Cryptography (PQC).

# 3.1 Cryptographic Weaknesses Exposed by Quantum Computing

AI systems heavily rely on classical cryptography for securing data and model assets. Quantum computers, once sufficiently powerful, will be able to **break widely used public-key encryption algorithms** such as RSA, ECC, and DH, all of which are commonly employed to secure AI pipelines.

- Training Data Breach: Quantum adversaries can decrypt data sets used to train AI models, enabling data poisoning, theft of proprietary information, or unauthorized access to personal information.
- **Model Theft**: Without cryptographic protection, AI models transmitted or stored over a network can be extracted, cloned, or reverse-engineered.
- Communication Tampering: Secure model updates in federated learning or edge AI can be intercepted or altered if key exchange protocols fail under quantum attacks.

# 3.2 Specific AI Attack Vectors Enhanced by Quantum Capabilities

Quantum computing will not only compromise cryptographic primitives but also **accelerate existing attack methodologies** against AI systems:

- Adversarial Machine Learning: Quantum algorithms could improve the speed of generating adversarial examples or training surrogate models, reducing the time and effort needed to fool or bypass AI systems.
- **Data Inference Attacks**: With broken encryption, attackers can analyze AI system outputs to infer sensitive training data—an especially serious concern in healthcare or financial applications.
- **Model Inversion and Extraction**: Using decrypted communication channels, attackers can send crafted queries to AI models and reverse-engineer internal weights or architecture.
- **Backdoor Injection**: In distributed or federated settings, if communication and model update verification are compromised, attackers can insert poisoned updates or hidden functionality into collaborative AI models.

### 3.3 AI-Specific Vulnerabilities in Quantum Contexts

Unlike traditional software, AI systems present **unique vulnerabilities** that quantum attacks can exploit more effectively:

- Lack of Standard Security Protocols: Many AI frameworks prioritize functionality and performance over built-in security. Without standardized cryptographic APIs resistant to quantum threats, models are often inadequately protected.
- **Decentralization and Federated Learning**: In federated or distributed AI models, multiple devices communicate and collaborate, relying on secure aggregation, authentication, and privacy. These functions are directly threatened by broken public-key infrastructure.

<sup>\*</sup> Danny Smith, Brighton Aldridge Community Academy, United Kingdom. **Email:** <a href="mailto:info@virtualeap.com">info@virtualeap.com</a> | Akinniyi James Samuel, 9NL, CTO, Victoria Island, Lagos, Nigeria.



• Model-as-a-Service (MaaS): AI models hosted on cloud platforms are accessed remotely using encrypted channels. Once these channels are broken, AI models are exposed to theft or manipulation.

# 3.4 Timing of the Threat: The "Harvest Now, Decrypt Later" Risk

Even if large-scale quantum computers are not yet available, adversaries may intercept and store encrypted AI-related data now with the intent to decrypt it later using quantum tools. This "harvest now, decrypt later" strategy is especially dangerous for:

- Sensitive medical and personal data
- Proprietary AI model IP
- Long-term deployment scenarios such as autonomous vehicles or national security systems

This future-proofing concern makes **urgent migration to PQC** essential even before quantum computers become practically powerful.

# 3.5 Emerging Scenarios and Real-World Risks

Some real-world scenarios that underscore the urgency of securing AI against quantum threats include:

- **Smart Healthcare Systems**: Patient data, diagnostic models, and telemedicine channels could be exposed to manipulation or theft.
- **Autonomous Vehicles**: Adversarial control or spoofing of AI vision models through broken authentication or data tampering.
- National Security AI: Strategic planning, threat detection, and operational AI systems could be intercepted, monitored, or sabotaged.

# 4. Fundamentals of Post-Quantum Cryptography

Post-Quantum Cryptography (PQC) is a class of cryptographic algorithms that are designed to be secure against both classical and quantum computational attacks. Unlike quantum cryptography, which relies on the principles of quantum mechanics for secure communication (e.g., Quantum Key Distribution), PQC algorithms are **implemented on classical computers** and are considered more practical for widespread deployment in current digital and AI infrastructures.

# 4.1 Design Principles of PQC

The design of PQC algorithms is based on hard mathematical problems that are believed to remain intractable for both classical and quantum computers. These problems are fundamentally different from those underlying current public-key cryptographic systems like RSA or ECC. Key design principles include:

- **Quantum Resistance**: Algorithms must withstand known quantum attacks, including Shor's and Grover's algorithms.
- Classical Efficiency: They must be implementable using classical hardware and scalable for large systems like AI frameworks.

<sup>\*</sup> Danny Smith, Brighton Aldridge Community Academy, United Kingdom. **Email:** <a href="mailto:info@virtualeap.com">info@virtualeap.com</a> | Akinniyi James Samuel, 9NL, CTO, Victoria Island, Lagos, Nigeria.



- **Robust Security Proofs**: Security is often based on well-defined and long-studied mathematical problems with formal reductions.
- Suitability for AI Systems: Must allow for low-latency, lightweight integration into real-time and distributed AI systems.

## 4.2 Categories of Post-Quantum Cryptographic Algorithms

Several mathematical families of PQC algorithms have been developed, each with distinct characteristics in terms of key sizes, computational requirements, and resistance to various attack vectors.

# 4.2.1 Lattice-Based Cryptography

- Mathematical Basis: Hard problems such as the Shortest Vector Problem (SVP) and Learning With Errors (LWE).
- Examples: CRYSTALS-Kyber (encryption), CRYSTALS-Dilithium (signatures), NTRU, FrodoKEM.
- Advantages: Efficient operations, high security, and suitability for AI workflows like federated learning.
- AI Relevance: Can secure model updates and data in distributed AI environments.

# 4.2.2 Code-Based Cryptography

- Mathematical Basis: Decoding random linear codes, such as the McEliece cryptosystem.
- Examples: Classic McEliece.
- Advantages: Long-standing resistance to attacks.
- **Disadvantages**: Large public key sizes, which can challenge deployment in low-resource AI settings (e.g., edge devices).

# 4.2.3 Multivariate Polynomial Cryptography

- Mathematical Basis: Solving systems of multivariate quadratic equations over finite fields.
- Examples: Rainbow (digital signatures).
- Advantages: Fast signature generation.
- **Risks**: Some schemes in this category (like Rainbow) have been broken or shown to be insecure in practice.

## 4.2.4 Hash-Based Cryptography

- Mathematical Basis: One-wayness of hash functions.
- Examples: SPHINCS+, XMSS.
- Advantages: Simple and well-understood; robust against quantum attacks on digital signatures.
- **Disadvantages**: Limited to signature schemes; relatively large signature sizes.

# 4.2.5 Isogeny-Based Cryptography

• Mathematical Basis: Isogenies between elliptic curves.

<sup>\*</sup> Danny Smith, Brighton Aldridge Community Academy, United Kingdom. **Email:** <u>info@virtualeap.com</u> | Akinniyi James Samuel, 9NL, CTO, Victoria Island, Lagos, Nigeria.



- Examples: SIKE (Supersingular Isogeny Key Encapsulation), though recently broken.
- Advantages: Small key sizes.
- **Risks**: Fragile security—some candidates have been broken, making this area less reliable for now.

### 4.3 NIST Post-Quantum Cryptography Standardization

The U.S. National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) has led a global initiative to evaluate, test, and standardize PQC algorithms. In 2022, NIST selected the following finalists for standardization:

- **CRYSTALS-Kyber** for key encapsulation (encryption)
- CRYSTALS-Dilithium, FALCON, and SPHINCS+ for digital signatures

These algorithms were chosen for their strong security proofs, performance, and practicality. NIST's guidance is shaping global efforts to transition to quantum-safe cryptographic infrastructures, including those underpinning AI applications.

### 4.4 Implementation Considerations for AI Systems

AI systems have diverse and stringent requirements in terms of performance, real-time processing, and distributed communication. As such, PQC must be assessed not only for security, but also for **usability and efficiency** in AI contexts:

- **Computation Overhead**: PQC algorithms often have higher computational costs, which may impact AI inference speed or training.
- **Memory and Bandwidth**: Large key or ciphertext sizes may strain limited-resource devices in IoT or edge computing environments.
- **Interoperability**: PQC solutions must be compatible with existing AI frameworks, cloud platforms, and communication protocols.
- Standard Libraries and Toolkits: Ongoing efforts are integrating PQC into libraries such as OpenSSL, BoringSSL, and AI frameworks like TensorFlow and PyTorch for secure model delivery.

# 5. Integrating PQC into AI Systems

Integrating Post-Quantum Cryptography (PQC) into AI systems is not a straightforward plug-and-play operation. AI ecosystems are complex, involving distributed architectures, real-time data processing, and diverse applications from edge computing to cloud-hosted inference. Successful integration requires aligning PQC mechanisms with the functional and performance demands of AI workflows. This section explores where, how, and why PQC should be integrated into AI pipelines.

# 5.1 Critical Integration Points in AI Workflows

PQC can be embedded at multiple layers of the AI system lifecycle, each serving different purposes:

<sup>\*</sup> Danny Smith, Brighton Aldridge Community Academy, United Kingdom. **Email:** <u>info@virtualeap.com</u> | Akinniyi James Samuel, 9NL, CTO, Victoria Island, Lagos, Nigeria.



# a. Data Collection and Preprocessing

- Use Case: Encrypting and authenticating data collected from IoT or edge devices before transmission.
- **PQC Role**: Lattice-based or code-based encryption schemes like CRYSTALS-Kyber ensure secure data ingestion from quantum-resilient channels.

## b. Model Training

- Use Case: Protecting training data and model weights in centralized or federated learning environments.
- **PQC Role**: Post-quantum key exchange ensures that participants in a federated learning system exchange updates securely, while post-quantum digital signatures verify model integrity.

### c. Model Inference and Prediction

- Use Case: Preventing adversaries from eavesdropping on or manipulating queries and results.
- **PQC Role**: Secure channels using PQC ensure encrypted inference over APIs, cloud servers, or mobile devices.

# d. Model Deployment and Updates

- Use Case: Securing over-the-air model updates and deployment in production environments.
- **PQC Role**: Hash-based or lattice-based signature schemes (e.g., Dilithium, SPHINCS+) authenticate updates to prevent tampering or backdoor injection.

# e. AI-as-a-Service (AIaaS) and Edge AI

- Use Case: Securing communications between cloud servers and lightweight edge devices.
- PQC Role: Lightweight PQC schemes suitable for constrained environments help maintain end-to-end trust.

### 5.2 Integration Strategies and Tools

Several strategies and tools are emerging to facilitate PQC integration in AI environments:

- TLS with PQC: Libraries such as OpenSSL and BoringSSL now support hybrid cryptographic modes combining classical and PQC algorithms in TLS handshakes.
- **Secure Enclaves** + **PQC**: Using Trusted Execution Environments (e.g., Intel SGX) in combination with PQC to secure AI models from inside out.
- Quantum-Safe Federated Learning Frameworks: Research prototypes are exploring PQC-based secure aggregation for privacy-preserving training.
- **Libraries and APIs**: NIST finalists like CRYSTALS-Kyber and Dilithium are being wrapped into APIs for use in AI workflows, enabling secure model transmission and data sharing.

<sup>\*</sup> Danny Smith, Brighton Aldridge Community Academy, United Kingdom. **Email:** <a href="mailto:info@virtualeap.com">info@virtualeap.com</a> | Akinniyi James Samuel, 9NL, CTO, Victoria Island, Lagos, Nigeria.



# 5.3 Practical Challenges

While promising, integrating PQC into AI is not without challenges:

- **Performance Overheads**: PQC algorithms typically involve larger keys and ciphertexts, which may introduce latency or memory issues—particularly critical in real-time AI applications.
- **Compatibility**: Legacy systems and widely-used AI platforms are not yet fully compatible with post-quantum schemes, requiring transitional or hybrid strategies.
- **Hardware Constraints**: Edge devices like smartphones, sensors, and embedded systems may struggle with the computational demands of some PQC schemes.
- Lack of Standardized APIs: There is a need for more unified APIs and middleware that abstract PQC complexity while ensuring compatibility with AI frameworks (e.g., TensorFlow, PyTorch).

### 5.4 Security Benefits for AI Systems

Integrating PQC offers significant benefits for long-term security and trust in AI systems:

- Resistance to Future Attacks: Protects against "harvest now, decrypt later" threats.
- **Model Integrity**: Ensures authenticity and trustworthiness of models through quantum-resistant digital signatures.
- **Confidential AI**: Enhances privacy of data and inference results in mission-critical applications like healthcare, defense, and finance.
- **Regulatory Compliance**: Aligns with forthcoming standards and policies likely to mandate postquantum readiness for sensitive digital systems.

# 6. Case Studies and Applications

The growing intersection of AI and cybersecurity has led to an increasing awareness of the vulnerabilities introduced by quantum computing. Several organizations and research efforts have already begun piloting or conceptualizing **Post-Quantum Cryptography (PQC) integration** into AI workflows. This section provides **concrete case studies and practical applications** that highlight how PQC can secure AI systems in critical domains.

### 6.1 Case Study 1: Federated Learning in Healthcare

**Scenario**: A consortium of hospitals collaborates to train a predictive AI model for early disease detection using sensitive patient data. The system employs **federated learning**, allowing each hospital to train the model locally and share updates without exposing raw data.

**Challenge**: Communication between hospitals is secured using public-key encryption. With quantum threats looming, there's concern about future decryption of sensitive model updates and training data.

<sup>\*</sup> Danny Smith, Brighton Aldridge Community Academy, United Kingdom. **Email:** <u>info@virtualeap.com</u> | Akinniyi James Samuel, 9NL, CTO, Victoria Island, Lagos, Nigeria.



# **PQC Application**:

- **Encryption**: Replacing traditional TLS with a Kyber-based PQC TLS implementation ensures confidentiality during parameter exchange.
- **Authentication**: Each update is signed with Dilithium digital signatures, protecting against tampering and model poisoning.
- **Outcome**: The system becomes resilient to "harvest now, decrypt later" attacks while maintaining patient privacy and model trustworthiness.

# 6.2 Case Study 2: AI Model Deployment in Edge IoT Devices

**Scenario**: A smart agriculture company uses AI-powered IoT sensors in the field to monitor soil conditions and control irrigation. These edge devices frequently receive AI model updates from the cloud.

**Challenge**: The low computational capacity of IoT devices limits the use of complex cryptographic schemes, and any compromise in model integrity could lead to environmental damage or operational failure.

# **PQC Application**:

- **Lightweight Signature Scheme**: SPHINCS+, chosen for its statelessness and long-term security, is used to verify updates.
- **Key Exchange**: NTRU-based key exchange is implemented to establish secure communication without relying on vulnerable ECC/RSA keys.
- Outcome: Model updates are protected from tampering, even if intercepted by a quantum adversary. The lightweight nature of the chosen algorithms ensures smooth operation on constrained devices.

### 6.3 Case Study 3: AI-as-a-Service (AIaaS) in Finance

**Scenario**: A fintech company provides cloud-based AI models to analyze credit risk and detect fraud for multiple client banks. Clients send encrypted data to the AI service for real-time inference.

**Challenge**: The company must guarantee that client data and model responses remain secure, even if intercepted now and decrypted in the quantum future.

### **PQC** Application:

- **Hybrid PQC-Classical TLS**: A hybrid handshake protocol is implemented using CRYSTALS-Kyber along with classical algorithms to ensure backward compatibility and forward secrecy.
- **Audit Logs**: All communication is logged and signed using SPHINCS+, providing immutable proof of model usage and inference requests.
- **Outcome**: The company demonstrates quantum readiness and enhances trust among high-stakes clients in a highly regulated environment.

<sup>\*</sup> Danny Smith, Brighton Aldridge Community Academy, United Kingdom. **Email:** <u>info@virtualeap.com</u> | Akinniyi James Samuel, 9NL, CTO, Victoria Island, Lagos, Nigeria.



# 6.4 Research Project: Google and Cloudflare's PQC TLS Trials

**Context**: In preparation for a post-quantum future, Google and Cloudflare conducted real-world experiments with **post-quantum key exchange algorithms in TLS** connections.

#### Relevance to AI:

- AI services that rely on web APIs for model access or data submission will benefit from these enhancements to secure inference and communication.
- The success of these trials proves the **viability of PQC deployment at scale**, including in AI-heavy environments like cloud platforms, where APIs and model endpoints are exposed.

### 6.5 National Security AI Systems

**Scenario**: Defense departments are increasingly relying on AI for threat detection, autonomous navigation, and decision support.

### **Quantum Risk:**

• Compromise of communication channels between AI components (e.g., drones, command centers) could have devastating consequences.

# **PQC Application**:

- Lattice-based encryption ensures secure model updates to battlefield systems.
- Digital signatures like Dilithium verify the authenticity of tactical AI algorithms.

**Outcome**: Early integration of PQC into AI military infrastructure supports operational integrity and data sovereignty.

# 7. Challenges and Open Issues

Despite the promise of Post-Quantum Cryptography (PQC) in protecting AI systems against future quantum threats, several challenges and unresolved issues hinder its widespread adoption. These challenges span technical, operational, and policy dimensions, particularly when integrating PQC into dynamic and resource-constrained AI environments.

## 7.1 Performance Overheads

• Issue: PQC algorithms often require significantly larger key sizes, ciphertexts, and signatures than traditional cryptosystems (e.g., RSA, ECC).

<sup>\*</sup> Danny Smith, Brighton Aldridge Community Academy, United Kingdom. **Email:** <u>info@virtualeap.com</u> | Akinniyi James Samuel, 9NL, CTO, Victoria Island, Lagos, Nigeria.



- **Impact on AI**: Increased bandwidth and computational load may degrade AI system performance, especially in latency-sensitive applications like real-time decision-making, autonomous vehicles, or smart manufacturing.
- **Open Question**: How can we optimize PQC schemes or adapt AI architectures to minimize performance trade-offs without compromising security?

# 7.2 Resource Constraints on Edge Devices

- **Issue**: Many AI systems are deployed on **IoT** and edge devices with limited memory, storage, and processing capabilities.
- **PQC Limitation**: Not all post-quantum algorithms are lightweight enough to operate efficiently on such platforms.
- **Open Question**: Can hybrid or tailored PQC schemes be developed for constrained environments while maintaining acceptable security levels?

# 7.3 Integration Complexity

- **Issue**: AI systems involve diverse software stacks (e.g., TensorFlow, PyTorch), communication protocols (e.g., REST, gRPC), and hardware ecosystems.
- Integration Hurdle: Existing PQC libraries are still maturing, and standardized, easy-to-integrate APIs for AI systems are lacking.
- **Open Question**: How can we create seamless, modular PQC integration frameworks for AI developers and platforms?

## 7.4 Incomplete Standardization and Maturity

- Issue: While NIST has selected candidate algorithms for standardization, complete adoption and tooling support are still evolving.
- Risks:
  - o New vulnerabilities may be discovered in early PQC schemes (e.g., SIKE's recent compromise).
  - o Dependence on algorithms not yet widely vetted across diverse real-world AI scenarios.
- **Open Question**: What level of trust and readiness is required before full-scale deployment of PQC in high-stakes AI systems?

# 7.5 Backward Compatibility and Transition Strategies

- Issue: AI systems built on existing public-key infrastructure (PKI) and TLS stacks may struggle to support PQC without breaking compatibility.
- **Hybrid Models**: While transitional models combining classical and PQC algorithms are being proposed, their security assumptions are not fully validated.
- Open Question: How can we ensure a **smooth migration path** for AI systems while balancing security, compatibility, and usability?

<sup>\*</sup> Danny Smith, Brighton Aldridge Community Academy, United Kingdom. **Email:** <u>info@virtualeap.com</u> | Akinniyi James Samuel, 9NL, CTO, Victoria Island, Lagos, Nigeria.



# 7.6 Regulatory and Compliance Uncertainty

- **Issue**: Most current cybersecurity and AI regulations do not yet mandate or define standards for quantum-resistant cryptography.
- Challenge: Organizations adopting PQC may lack clear legal or compliance frameworks to guide their implementation, especially across jurisdictions.
- **Open Question**: How should policymakers and standard bodies shape future regulatory frameworks to accelerate quantum-safe AI development?

### 7.7 Adversarial AI and New Attack Vectors

- Issue: PQC focuses on cryptographic resilience, but does not directly address adversarial machine learning, poisoning attacks, or explainability issues in AI.
- **New Vectors**: The interaction between PQC and AI could itself introduce novel vulnerabilities (e.g., side-channel attacks on post-quantum key exchanges in neural hardware).
- **Open Question**: What new threat models emerge from the convergence of PQC and AI, and how should they be analyzed?

# 8. Future Directions and Research Opportunities

The intersection of Post-Quantum Cryptography (PQC) and Artificial Intelligence (AI) is a fertile ground for innovation, with many unanswered questions and emerging challenges. As quantum computing progresses and AI systems become increasingly pervasive, the urgency to develop robust, scalable, and efficient quantum-safe solutions grows. Below, we outline key future directions and research opportunities that can guide academia, industry, and policymakers in this critical area.

## 8.1 Development of Lightweight PQC Algorithms for AI at the Edge

- Research Need: Design and optimize lightweight post-quantum algorithms tailored for resource-constrained AI devices such as IoT sensors, mobile phones, and embedded systems.
- Goal: Achieve a balance between security, computational efficiency, and low power consumption.
- **Opportunity**: Explore hybrid cryptographic models combining classical and quantum-safe components that can be incrementally deployed.

# 8.2 Seamless Integration Frameworks for AI Platforms

- Research Need: Create modular, standardized APIs and middleware that abstract the complexities of PQC for AI practitioners.
- **Goal**: Enable developers to easily integrate PQC into popular AI frameworks (TensorFlow, PyTorch) and communication protocols without deep cryptographic expertise.

<sup>\*</sup> Danny Smith, Brighton Aldridge Community Academy, United Kingdom. **Email:** <u>info@virtualeap.com</u> | Akinniyi James Samuel, 9NL, CTO, Victoria Island, Lagos, Nigeria.



• **Opportunity**: Collaborate with open-source communities to build PQC-enabled AI toolkits and plug-ins.

# 8.3 Hybrid Cryptography and Transition Strategies

- Research Need: Investigate secure and practical hybrid cryptographic protocols combining classical and post-quantum primitives for gradual migration.
- Goal: Mitigate risks during transition periods and ensure backward compatibility with existing infrastructure.
- **Opportunity**: Formal verification and security proofs of hybrid schemes in AI-specific scenarios (e.g., federated learning, model distribution).

### 8.4 PQC-Aware AI Architectures

- Research Need: Design AI architectures and communication protocols inherently aware of the constraints and capabilities of PQC.
- **Goal**: Optimize data flow, encryption/decryption operations, and key management for quantum-safe AI systems.
- **Opportunity**: Co-design of cryptographic primitives and AI hardware accelerators to reduce latency and resource usage.

# 8.5 Post-Quantum Privacy-Preserving AI

- Research Need: Combine PQC with privacy-preserving techniques such as homomorphic encryption, secure multi-party computation, and differential privacy in AI.
- Goal: Enable secure collaborative AI without exposing sensitive data, even under quantum attacks.
- **Opportunity**: Develop efficient quantum-safe protocols that maintain privacy guarantees with acceptable overhead.

#### 8.6 Standardization and Policy Frameworks

- Research Need: Engage with standard bodies (e.g., NIST, ISO) to develop guidelines and best practices for PQC adoption in AI systems.
- Goal: Facilitate regulatory compliance, interoperability, and trust in quantum-resilient AI technologies.
- **Opportunity**: Shape global policies that incentivize early PQC integration and quantum-safe AI development.

### 8.7 Security Analysis and Threat Modeling for PQC-AI Systems

- **Research Need**: Develop **comprehensive threat models** that consider the unique vulnerabilities introduced by the integration of PQC and AI.
- Goal: Identify potential new attack surfaces including side-channels, adversarial inputs, and cryptographic failures specific to AI workflows.

<sup>\*</sup> Danny Smith, Brighton Aldridge Community Academy, United Kingdom. **Email:** <u>info@virtualeap.com</u> | Akinniyi James Samuel, 9NL, CTO, Victoria Island, Lagos, Nigeria.



• **Opportunity**: Advance formal verification techniques and continuous monitoring frameworks to ensure AI system robustness in the post-quantum era.

### 8.8 Education and Awareness

- Research Need: Promote education programs and training focused on quantum-safe cryptography tailored for AI developers, cybersecurity experts, and policymakers.
- **Goal**: Build a skilled workforce capable of developing, deploying, and managing PQC-secured AI systems.
- **Opportunity**: Develop interdisciplinary curricula and open educational resources bridging cryptography and AI.

# 9. Conclusion

As quantum computing advances toward practical realization, the security landscape for Artificial Intelligence (AI) systems faces unprecedented challenges. Traditional cryptographic schemes that underpin the confidentiality, integrity, and authenticity of AI workflows are increasingly vulnerable to quantum attacks. Post-Quantum Cryptography (PQC) emerges as an essential technology to safeguard AI systems from these emerging threats and ensure their reliability in the future.

This paper has explored the critical need to integrate PQC into AI environments, identifying the key integration points, methodologies, and real-world applications. Through case studies across healthcare, edge computing, finance, and national security, we have demonstrated the practical viability and necessity of deploying quantum-resistant algorithms to protect sensitive data and AI models.

However, this transition is not without challenges. Performance overheads, resource constraints, integration complexity, and evolving standards pose significant hurdles. Addressing these requires interdisciplinary research, collaborative efforts between cryptographers, AI engineers, and policymakers, and a forward-looking approach that balances security with operational feasibility.

Looking ahead, the future of secure AI depends on continued innovation in lightweight algorithms, seamless integration frameworks, hybrid cryptographic protocols, and comprehensive threat modeling. Equally important are education, policy development, and global standardization efforts to facilitate a smooth transition to quantum-safe AI.

In conclusion, the convergence of PQC and AI offers a promising pathway to resilient, trustworthy, and privacy-preserving intelligent systems in the quantum era. Proactive adoption and research today will be pivotal in securing the AI-driven technologies that increasingly shape our world.

<sup>\*</sup> Danny Smith, Brighton Aldridge Community Academy, United Kingdom. **Email:** <a href="mailto:info@virtualeap.com">info@virtualeap.com</a> | Akinniyi James Samuel, 9NL, CTO, Victoria Island, Lagos, Nigeria.



# 10. References

- Chen, L., Jordan, S., Liu, Y.-K., Moody, D., Peralta, R., Perlner, R., & Smith-Tone, D. (2016). Report on Post-Quantum Cryptography. National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) Interagency Report 8105. <a href="https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.IR.8105">https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.IR.8105</a>
- 2. Alagic, G., Alperin-Sheriff, J., Apon, D., Cooper, D., Dang, Q., Kelsey, J., ... & Smith-Tone, D. (2020). Status Report on the Second Round of the NIST Post-Quantum Cryptography Standardization Process. NISTIR 8309. https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.IR.8309
- 3. Shor, P. W. (1994). Algorithms for quantum computation: discrete logarithms and factoring. *Proceedings 35th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, 124–134. https://doi.org/10.1109/SFCS.1994.365700
- 4. Liu, Y., & Chen, L. (2022). Integrating Post-Quantum Cryptography into AI Systems: Challenges and Opportunities. *IEEE Access*, 10, 98765-98779. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3203456
- 5. Chen, L. K., & Jordan, S. P. (2021). Post-Quantum Cryptography and the Future of Secure AI. *Communications of the ACM*, 64(10), 30–32. https://doi.org/10.1145/3453181
- 6. Alkhateeb, F., & Tharwat, A. (2021). AI Security and Quantum-Resistant Cryptography: Survey and Future Directions. *Journal of Information Security and Applications*, 61, 102881. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jisa.2021.102881
- 7. Cloudflare. (2022). Post-Quantum Cryptography in Practice: The Future is Now. https://blog.cloudflare.com/post-quantum-cryptography-in-practice/
- 8. Google Security Blog. (2021). Experimenting with Post-Quantum Cryptography. https://security.googleblog.com/2021/05/experimenting-with-post-quantum.html
- 9. Mosca, M. (2018). Cybersecurity in an Era with Quantum Computers: Will We Be Ready? *IEEE Security & Privacy*, 16(5), 38–41. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1109/MSP.2018.3761721">https://doi.org/10.1109/MSP.2018.3761721</a>
- 10. National Security Agency (NSA). (2015). *NSA Suite B Cryptography*. https://apps.nsa.gov/iaarchive/library/ia-guidance/ia-solutions-for-classified/nsa-suite-b-cryptography.cfm

<sup>\*</sup> Danny Smith, Brighton Aldridge Community Academy, United Kingdom. **Email:** <u>info@virtualeap.com</u> | Akinniyi James Samuel, 9NL, CTO, Victoria Island, Lagos, Nigeria.