Generative AI and Deep fake s: Ethical Implications and Detection Techniques

Abstract

Generative Artificial Intelligence (AI) has revolutionized content creation, enabling the synthesis of highly realistic images, videos, audio, and text. However, this advancement has also given rise to deep fake s—synthetic media that can convincingly mimic real individuals and events—posing significant ethical and societal challenges. This paper explores the dual-edged nature of generative AI by examining the ethical implications associated with deep fake s, including privacy violations, misinformation, manipulation, and the erosion of trust in digital content. Alongside these concerns, we provide a comprehensive overview of current detection techniques ranging from traditional digital forensic methods to state-of-the-art machine learning approaches. We highlight the strengths and limitations of existing solutions and discuss the ongoing arms race between deep fake generation and detection. Finally, we identify future research opportunities that focus on enhancing detection robustness, developing ethical frameworks, and fostering interdisciplinary collaboration. This paper aims to contribute to a balanced understanding of generative AI's potential and risks, emphasizing the urgent need for ethical responsibility and technological innovation to safeguard information integrity in the digital age.

Journal

Journal of Science, Technology and Engineering Research.

Volume-II, Issue-I-2024

Pages: 45-56

Keywords:

Generative AI, Deep fake s, Ethical Implications, Deep fake Detection, Machine Learning, Digital Forensics, Misinformation, AI Ethics, Media Manipulation, Privacy, Adversarial Robustness, Content Authenticity

Introduction

Generative Artificial Intelligence (AI) represents one of the most transformative technological advancements of recent years, enabling machines to autonomously create highly realistic synthetic content across various media forms—including images, videos, audio, and text. Technologies such as Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs), Variational Autoencoders (VAEs), and transformer-based models have dramatically advanced the ability to produce content that is often indistinguishable from authentic human-generated media. While these breakthroughs unlock exciting opportunities in entertainment, design, education, and personalized content creation, they also give rise to profound ethical and societal challenges.

Author: Divya Gupta, Imperial College London, United Kingdom
Email: diva1798@gmail.com

One of the most controversial and rapidly evolving applications of generative AI is the creation of **deep fake s**—manipulated or entirely synthetic media designed to convincingly portray real individuals performing actions or saying things they never actually did. Initially popularized as a niche curiosity, deep fake s have since become a tool for misinformation, harassment, political manipulation, fraud, and other malicious uses. The ability to fabricate seemingly authentic visual and audio evidence undermines public trust in media, threatens privacy, and complicates the efforts of journalists, policymakers, and law enforcement to discern truth from deception.

The rise of deep fake s has ignited urgent debates surrounding the ethical implications of generative AI. Key concerns include violations of personal privacy and consent, the weaponization of synthetic media for political or financial gain, and the broader societal impacts on public discourse and democratic processes. These challenges are compounded by the rapid pace of technological advancement, which often outstrips the development of regulatory frameworks and public awareness. Striking a balance between fostering innovation and mitigating risks remains a critical and ongoing challenge.

In parallel with ethical concerns, significant research efforts are devoted to developing **detection techniques** that can identify and mitigate the harmful effects of deep fake s. Early detection methods leveraged digital signatures, metadata inconsistencies, and traditional forensic techniques, but these have proven insufficient against increasingly sophisticated forgeries. Modern approaches harness machine learning and deep learning, training models to detect subtle artifacts and inconsistencies imperceptible to human observers. However, the evolving cat-and-mouse dynamic between deep fake generation and detection demands continual innovation, with robustness against adversarial attacks and multimodal analysis emerging as key areas of focus.

This paper aims to provide a comprehensive overview of the ethical implications posed by generative AI and deep fake s, alongside a detailed survey of current detection methods. We discuss the strengths and limitations of existing approaches, examine real-world cases illustrating the impact of deep fake s, and explore future directions that encompass technical, ethical, and policy dimensions. Through this examination, we seek to illuminate the path toward responsible development and deployment of generative AI, emphasizing the need for multidisciplinary collaboration and heightened public literacy to safeguard the integrity of digital content in an increasingly synthetic media landscape.

2. Background and Fundamentals

2.1 Generative AI Techniques

Generative Artificial Intelligence encompasses a class of machine learning models designed to generate new data samples that resemble a given training dataset. Among these, **Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs)**, introduced by Goodfellow et al. in 2014, have become the cornerstone of realistic synthetic content generation. GANs consist of two neural networks—the generator, which creates synthetic data, and the discriminator, which attempts to distinguish generated data from real samples. Through adversarial training, both networks iteratively improve, resulting in highly convincing outputs ranging from images and videos to audio.

Another prominent generative model is the **Variational Autoencoder (VAE)**, which encodes input data into a probabilistic latent space and then decodes it to reconstruct or generate new data. VAEs are often

Author: Divya Gupta, Imperial College London, United Kingdom
Email: diva1798@gmail.com

praised for their ability to learn meaningful latent representations, though they typically produce outputs that are less sharp than those from GANs.

More recently, **transformer-based models** such as GPT (Generative Pre-trained Transformer) and its variants have revolutionized the generation of human-like text and even multimodal content. These models leverage attention mechanisms to capture complex dependencies in data, enabling coherent and contextually relevant generation, which can also be adapted for image, audio, and video synthesis.

2.2 Deep fake s: Definition and Types

The term "deep fake " is a portmanteau of "deep learning" and "fake" and refers to synthetic media that uses deep generative models to fabricate or alter content in ways that appear authentic. Deep fake s can manifest in several forms:

- **Image deep fake s:** Manipulated photographs or generated portraits that alter facial features or create entirely synthetic faces.
- **Video deep fake s:** Modified or entirely generated videos where a person's face or voice is swapped or fabricated, often creating the illusion of them performing actions or speech they never did.
- **Audio deep fake s:** Synthetic speech generated to mimic a specific individual's voice, potentially enabling impersonation.
- **Text deep fake s:** AI-generated text that mimics an individual's writing style or produces misleading or fabricated information.

These deep fake s vary in complexity and detectability, with video deep fake s often requiring the highest level of technical sophistication.

2.3 Evolution and Trends

Initially, deep fake s were created by hobbyists and circulated in niche internet communities, often for entertainment or satire. However, rapid improvements in generative AI technology have drastically lowered the barriers to creating realistic deep fake s, democratizing access to these powerful tools. This proliferation has fueled concerns over misuse in political propaganda, revenge pornography, financial fraud, and social engineering.

At the same time, the technological arms race between deep fake generation and detection has intensified. Advancements in GAN architectures, such as StyleGAN and BigGAN, have enabled ultra-high-resolution synthetic images, while transformer models have enhanced the realism of AI-generated text and audio. Simultaneously, detection research has evolved from simple artifact recognition to complex multimodal, temporal, and physiological analysis techniques.

2.4 Importance of Understanding Generative AI and Deep fake s

Understanding the underlying technologies and evolution of generative AI and deep fake s is crucial for developing effective detection tools, ethical frameworks, and regulatory policies. A technical grasp enables researchers and policymakers to anticipate emerging threats and design interventions that are proactive rather than reactive. Moreover, raising awareness of these fundamentals among the general public is essential for cultivating digital literacy and resilience against misinformation.

Author: Divya Gupta, Imperial College London, United Kingdom
Email: diva1798@gmail.com

3. Ethical Implications of Generative AI and Deep fake s

The rise of generative AI and the widespread availability of deep fake technology present a host of ethical challenges that impact individuals, societies, and institutions worldwide. While generative AI holds immense potential for innovation and creativity, its misuse poses serious risks to privacy, trust, and social cohesion. This section explores the primary ethical concerns surrounding generative AI and deep fake s.

3.1 Privacy Violations and Consent

One of the foremost ethical issues with deep fake s is the violation of personal privacy. Deep fake technologies can fabricate realistic images or videos of individuals without their consent, often portraying them in compromising or false scenarios. Such unauthorized use infringes on individuals' rights to control their own likeness and can cause emotional distress, reputational harm, and social stigma. Victims of non-consensual deep fake pornography, for example, frequently face profound psychological and professional consequences.

The lack of explicit consent in the creation and distribution of deep fake s raises questions about ownership of digital identity and the boundaries of personal autonomy in the digital age. Ensuring that individuals have control over how their images and voices are used is a critical ethical mandate.

3.2 Misinformation, Disinformation, and Social Trust

Deep fake s contribute to the accelerating crisis of misinformation and disinformation by enabling the creation of highly convincing false content. Malicious actors can fabricate videos of politicians making inflammatory statements, simulate public figures endorsing false claims, or create fake news footage to manipulate public opinion. Such misinformation undermines the integrity of public discourse, erodes trust in media institutions, and polarizes societies.

The ability of deep fake s to deceive even well-informed viewers exacerbates the "post-truth" environment, where facts become increasingly difficult to verify. This erosion of trust can weaken democratic processes, fuel social unrest, and compromise national security.

3.3 Potential for Harm and Manipulation

Beyond privacy and misinformation, deep fake s pose risks in domains such as fraud, harassment, and political manipulation. Deep fake audio can be used to impersonate executives or officials to authorize fraudulent transactions. Politically motivated deep fake scan discredit opponents or incite violence. Harassment campaigns utilizing synthetic media can target vulnerable groups or individuals, leading to real-world harm.

The asymmetry of power and resources between creators of malicious deep fake s and their targets often leaves victims without effective recourse. This imbalance raises urgent ethical questions about accountability and justice.

3.4 Ethical Tensions: Freedom of Expression vs. Prevention of Harm

Author: Divya Gupta, Imperial College London, United Kingdom
Email: diva1798@gmail.com

Regulating generative AI and deep fake s involves navigating complex ethical tensions between protecting freedom of expression and preventing harm. While creative uses of generative AI—such as satire, art, and entertainment—should be preserved, the technology's potential for abuse demands safeguards.

Developing policies that do not stifle innovation but effectively deter malicious uses is challenging. Overly restrictive regulations risk impeding legitimate research and free speech, while lax oversight can facilitate widespread abuse.

3.5 Legal and Regulatory Challenges

Existing legal frameworks often lag behind technological advancements. Questions about liability, intellectual property rights, and the admissibility of synthetic media as evidence in legal proceedings remain unsettled. Jurisdictional variations complicate enforcement, especially given the global and borderless nature of digital content.

Ethical responsibility thus extends beyond technology developers to lawmakers, platforms, and civil society, requiring coordinated efforts to establish clear norms, guidelines, and legal standards.

4. Detection Techniques for Deep fake s

As the sophistication of generative AI continues to improve, so does the potential for misuse through deep fake s. This escalating threat has galvanized extensive research into developing robust detection methods to identify synthetic media and mitigate associated risks. This section provides an overview of the state-of-the-art detection techniques, their underlying principles, and their strengths and limitations.

4.1 Challenges in Deep fake Detection

Detecting deep fake s is inherently challenging due to the high quality and realism of synthetic content produced by advanced generative models. Deep fake s often exploit subtle visual, auditory, or temporal inconsistencies imperceptible to the human eye or ear. Additionally, the ongoing adversarial nature of deep fake creation and detection means that detection algorithms must continually adapt to new generation techniques designed to evade identification.

Furthermore, detection methods must operate efficiently across diverse media types (images, videos, audio) and formats, often in real-time, to be practical for deployment on social media platforms, news outlets, and legal contexts.

4.2 Traditional Detection Methods

Early approaches to detecting manipulated media focused on digital forensics techniques, including:

- **Metadata Analysis:** Examining inconsistencies or anomalies in file metadata such as timestamps, editing software signatures, or compression artifacts.

Author: Divya Gupta, Imperial College London, United Kingdom
Email: diva1798@gmail.com

- **Digital Watermarking:** Embedding imperceptible markers in authentic media to verify integrity, though this requires prior watermarking and is not applicable to all content.
- **Error Level Analysis (ELA):** Detecting variations in compression artifacts that may reveal tampering.

While useful for detecting rudimentary forgeries, these methods often fail against advanced deep fake s that maintain consistent metadata and reduce visible artifacts.

4.3 Machine Learning and Deep Learning-Based Detection

Modern detection techniques predominantly employ machine learning, particularly deep learning, to identify subtle patterns indicative of synthetic content:

- **Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs):** CNNs analyze spatial features in images and frames to detect unnatural textures, inconsistencies in facial landmarks, or irregular eye blinking patterns. For example, models trained to recognize subtle face warping or unnatural lighting have shown effectiveness in image and video deep fake detection.
- **Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) and Temporal Models:** These models analyze temporal inconsistencies across video frames, such as unnatural head movements or irregular lip-syncing, which static frame analysis might miss.
- **Multimodal Approaches:** Combining audio and visual cues improves detection accuracy. For instance, models that analyze voice patterns alongside facial expressions can detect mismatches indicating manipulation.
- **Physiological Signal Analysis:** Emerging research leverages physiological signals such as heartbeat-induced subtle skin color changes (remote photoplethysmography) to detect deep fake s that fail to replicate these signals accurately.

4.4 Adversarial and Robustness Challenges

A significant challenge in detection lies in adversarial robustness—deep fake creators often employ techniques specifically designed to fool detectors, such as adversarial perturbations or improving generation quality to remove detectable artifacts. Detection models must be continuously retrained and enhanced to keep pace.

Additionally, generalizing detection models across different datasets, generation methods, and media qualities remains a persistent issue. Overfitting to specific known deep fake types can reduce a model's effectiveness in real-world scenarios with novel forgeries.

4.5 Emerging Techniques and Future Directions

Recent advances include:

- **Explainable AI in Detection:** Incorporating interpretability to explain why certain content is flagged as fake, increasing trust and aiding human moderators.
- **Blockchain and Provenance Tracking:** Recording content creation and modification histories to verify authenticity.

Author: Divya Gupta, Imperial College London, United Kingdom
Email: diva1798@gmail.com

- **Crowdsourced and Hybrid Human-AI Detection:** Combining algorithmic detection with human judgment to improve accuracy and contextual understanding.

4.6 Summary of Detection Techniques

Technique	Strengths	Limitations
Metadata & Watermark Analysis	Simple, fast	Easily bypassed, requires prior watermarking
CNN-based Image Analysis	Effective on subtle visual artifacts	Can overfit, less effective on unseen forgeries
Temporal and RNN Models	Capture inconsistencies over time	Computationally intensive
Multimodal Detection	Higher accuracy with audio-visual data	Requires synchronization of modalities
Physiological Signal Analysis	Novel, difficult to fake	Early research, sensitive to video quality

5. Case Studies and Real-World Applications

Deep fake technology has moved beyond academic research labs and hobbyist circles to increasingly impact real-world scenarios, spanning politics, entertainment, security, and law enforcement. This section highlights key case studies that illustrate both the dangers and the responses to deep fake misuse, as well as how detection technologies are being applied in practical settings.

5.1 Political Manipulation and Misinformation

One of the most concerning applications of deep fake s is in the political arena, where fabricated videos of public figures can spread misinformation and undermine democratic processes. A notable example includes the 2018 deep fake video of former U.S. President Barack Obama created by filmmaker Jordan Peele to demonstrate the potential for political misinformation. While the video was clearly labeled as synthetic, it underscored how convincingly realistic deep fake s can be weaponized.

In 2020, deep fake s were suspected in several political campaigns worldwide, where videos allegedly depicting politicians making inflammatory remarks circulated on social media, fueling polarization and distrust. These incidents highlight the urgent need for rapid detection tools and media literacy efforts.

5.2 Revenge Pornography and Harassment

Deep fake technology has been weaponized to create non-consensual explicit content, disproportionately targeting women. These deep fake pornography videos often use publicly available images or videos of victims' faces superimposed onto explicit material. The

Author: Divya Gupta, Imperial College London, United Kingdom
Email: diva1798@gmail.com

psychological and social consequences for victims are severe, often including harassment, social stigma, and professional harm.

Legal systems and social media platforms have struggled to keep pace with these abuses, leading to calls for improved detection algorithms and stricter enforcement policies.

5.3 Fraud and Identity Theft

Deep fake audio and video have been used in financial fraud schemes, where scammers impersonate executives or trusted individuals to authorize transactions or gain sensitive information. For example, a well-documented case in 2019 involved a UK-based energy company where fraudsters used AI-generated voice deep fake s to mimic a CEO's voice, resulting in a $243,000 transfer to a fraudulent account.

This type of attack demonstrates the real-world economic impact of synthetic media and the importance of integrating detection methods in cybersecurity protocols.

5.4 Entertainment and Creative Industries

On the positive side, generative AI and deep fake s have found creative applications in the entertainment industry, enabling de-aging of actors, dubbing films in multiple languages, and resurrecting deceased performers for new roles. For instance, films like *The Irishman* employed advanced CGI techniques that share similarities with deep fake technology to create realistic de-aging effects.

This dual-use nature of the technology presents unique challenges for regulation and ethical guidelines, balancing innovation with protection against misuse.

5.5 Deployment of Detection Tools

Several organizations and platforms have begun deploying deep fake detection tools to combat synthetic media:

- **Social Media Platforms:** Companies like Facebook, Twitter, and TikTok have developed or partnered on AI-based detection systems to flag and remove deep fake content. However, challenges remain in scaling detection and addressing false positives.
- **News and Fact-Checking Organizations:** Fact-checking bodies increasingly rely on deep fake detection to verify viral videos, supporting journalists in combating misinformation.
- **Law Enforcement and National Security:** Agencies use detection technology to investigate cases involving identity fraud, terrorism-related propaganda, and cybercrime, though the lack of standardized tools and training remains a barrier.

5.6 Lessons Learned and Challenges

- **Speed and Scale:** Deep fake s spread rapidly, necessitating real-time or near-real-time detection to mitigate harm.

Author: Divya Gupta, Imperial College London, United Kingdom
Email: diva1798@gmail.com

- **False Positives/Negatives:** Detection systems must balance accuracy to avoid censoring legitimate content or missing harmful deep fake s.
- **Public Awareness:** Increasing digital literacy among users is critical to reduce the impact of synthetic media.

6. Future Directions and Research Opportunities

The rapid evolution of generative AI and deep fake technology presents an ongoing challenge for researchers, policymakers, and society at large. While significant progress has been made in understanding, detecting, and mitigating the risks associated with deep fake s, many avenues remain open for future exploration. This section outlines key directions and opportunities to advance the field toward more ethical and effective management of generative AI.

6.1 Advancing Detection Robustness and Generalization

Current deep fake detection models often struggle to generalize across different types of synthetic media and generation techniques. Future research should focus on developing detection methods that are:

- **Robust to Novel Attacks:** Techniques capable of identifying previously unseen deep fake generation methods, including adversarially crafted content designed to evade detection.
- **Cross-Modal and Multimodal:** Leveraging combined analysis of audio, video, text, and metadata to improve detection accuracy.
- **Explainable and Transparent:** Incorporating Explainable AI (XAI) methods that provide interpretable reasons behind detection decisions, increasing trust and facilitating human oversight.

6.2 Ethical Frameworks and Responsible AI Development

Research into ethical frameworks must keep pace with technological developments, addressing issues such as:

- **Consent and Privacy:** Mechanisms to ensure individuals' consent in media generation and sharing, potentially through digital rights management or watermarking.
- **Accountability and Liability:** Defining legal and ethical responsibilities for creators, distributors, and platform providers involved with deep fake content.
- **Guidelines for Dual-Use Technologies:** Balancing innovation with safeguards to prevent misuse, especially in sensitive contexts such as political speech and personal privacy.

6.3 Regulatory and Policy Innovations

Future work is needed to inform and implement effective policies that can:

Author: Divya Gupta, Imperial College London, United Kingdom
Email: diva1798@gmail.com

- **Standardize Definitions and Terminology:** Establishing clear, universally accepted definitions of deep fake s and synthetic media to guide regulation.
- **Enable International Cooperation:** Given the global reach of digital media, coordinated international policies and law enforcement collaboration are essential.
- **Promote Transparency in AI Systems:** Encouraging or mandating disclosure when AI-generated content is used, helping audiences assess authenticity.

6.4 Public Education and Digital Literacy

Enhancing societal resilience against deep fake threats requires:

- **Educational Programs:** Developing curricula and public campaigns to raise awareness about deep fake s and critical media consumption skills.
- **User Tools:** Creating accessible detection tools for end-users, empowering individuals to verify content independently.

6.5 Integration with Cybersecurity and Media Platforms

Deep fake detection should be integrated into broader cybersecurity and content moderation ecosystems, involving:

- **Real-Time Detection Systems:** Scalable, low-latency models that can flag suspicious content before widespread dissemination.
- **Collaborative Platforms:** Shared databases of known deep fake s and coordinated responses among social media companies, governments, and researchers.

6.6 Exploring Positive Applications of Generative AI

While much attention focuses on the risks of generative AI, research should also explore:

- **Creative and Educational Uses:** Leveraging deep fake technology responsibly in art, entertainment, and personalized education.
- **Augmenting Accessibility:** Using generative AI to improve communication for people with disabilities, such as synthetic voices and avatars.

Conclusion

Generative AI and deep fake technologies have ushered in a new era of digital content creation, offering remarkable opportunities for innovation across diverse fields such as entertainment, education, and communication. However, these advancements also pose significant ethical, social, and security challenges. The ability to create highly realistic synthetic media threatens individual privacy, undermines trust in information ecosystems, and facilitates malicious activities ranging from misinformation campaigns to identity fraud.

This paper has examined the foundational concepts of generative AI and deep fakes, highlighting their technological underpinnings and evolution. We have explored the profound ethical implications that arise

Author: Divya Gupta, Imperial College London, United Kingdom
Email: diva1798@gmail.com

from the misuse of these technologies, emphasizing the need for robust safeguards to protect individuals and societies. Detection techniques, especially those leveraging deep learning and multimodal analysis, offer promising tools to combat the spread of deep fakes, yet they face ongoing challenges in adapting to increasingly sophisticated attacks.

Through real-world case studies, it becomes clear that the impact of deep fakes is multifaceted—affecting politics, personal safety, financial security, and creative expression. Addressing these challenges demands a coordinated, multidisciplinary response that includes technological innovation, ethical governance, policy development, and public education.

Looking forward, future research must prioritize the development of more generalized and explainable detection methods, the establishment of clear ethical and legal frameworks, and the promotion of digital literacy to empower individuals against synthetic media threats. Equally important is fostering responsible use of generative AI to unlock its positive potential while mitigating harm.

In conclusion, navigating the complex landscape of generative AI and deep fake s is a shared responsibility requiring collaboration among researchers, policymakers, industry stakeholders, and society at large. By embracing this collective effort, we can harness the benefits of these powerful technologies while safeguarding truth, trust, and human dignity in the digital age.

References

1. Chesney, R., & Citron, D. K. (2019). Deep fakes: A looming challenge for privacy, democracy, and national security. *California Law Review*, 107(6), 1753-1819. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3213954
2. Kietzmann, J., Lee, L., McCarthy, I. P., & Kietzmann, T. C. (2020). Deep fake s: Trick or treat? *Business Horizons*, 63(2), 135-146. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2019.11.006
3. Rossler, A., Cozzolino, D., Verdoliva, L., Riess, C., Thies, J., & Nießner, M. (2019). FaceForensics++: Learning to detect manipulated facial images. *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*, 1-11. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCV.2019.00009
4. Nguyen, T. T., Nguyen, C. M., Nguyen, D. T., Nguyen, D. T., & Nahavandi, S. (2019). Deep learning for deep fake s creation and detection: A survey. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.11573*. https://arxiv.org/abs/1909.11573
5. Mirsky, Y., & Lee, W. (2021). The creation and detection of deep fake s: A survey. *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)*, 54(1), 1-41. https://doi.org/10.1145/3461333
6. Westerlund, M. (2019). The emergence of deep fake technology: A review. *Technology Innovation Management Review*, 9(11), 39-52. https://doi.org/10.22215/timreview/1312
7. Korshunov, P., & Marcel, S. (2018). Deep fake s: A new threat to face recognition? Assessment and detection. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1812.08685*. https://arxiv.org/abs/1812.08685

Author: Divya Gupta, Imperial College London, United Kingdom
Email: diva1798@gmail.com

8. Li, Y., Chang, M. C., & Lyu, S. (2018). In ictu oculi: Exposing AI created fake videos by detecting eye blinking. *IEEE International Workshop on Information Forensics and Security (WIFS)*, 1-7. https://doi.org/10.1109/WIFS.2018.8630786
9. Tolosana, R., Vera-Rodriguez, R., Fierrez, J., Morales, A., & Ortega-Garcia, J. (2020). Deep fake s and beyond: A survey of face manipulation and fake detection. *Information Fusion*, 64, 131-148. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.inffus.2020.06.011
10. Zhou, P., Han, X., Morariu, V. I., & Davis, L. S. (2017). Two-stream neural networks for tampered face detection. *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 1838-1846. https://doi.org/10.1109/CVPR.2017.198
11. Nguyen, H. H., & Tran, V. D. (2021). Deep learning-based deep fake detection: A review. *Journal of Information Security and Applications*, 58, 102717. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jisa.2021.102717
12. Mirsky, Y., & Lee, W. (2020). The creation and detection of deep fake s: A survey. *ACM Computing Surveys*, 54(1), 1-41. https://doi.org/10.1145/3461333

Author: Divya Gupta, Imperial College London, United Kingdom
Email: diva1798@gmail.com